

REMARKS

Claims 51-62 and 71-86 are presently pending. Claims 51-54, 71, and 74 are rejected. Claims 55-58, and 72 are allowed. Assignee appreciates Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter. Claims 75-86 are added.

Claims 59-62 are amended as suggested by Examiner. Accordingly, Assignee respectfully submits that these claims are now in a condition for allowance.

Claims 51-54, and 71 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Sukonick. Claim 51 recites, among other limitations, "storing a plurality of pixels defining the entire window comprising graphics". The Office Action indicates that the foregoing is taught at col. 6, lines 22-34, Figure 4.

Col. 6, lines 22-34 describe the "control words", while Figure 4 illustrates the format of the control words. However, the control words are not pixels. Accordingly, Assignee traverses the rejection because the foregoing does not teach, "storing a plurality of *pixels* defining the entire window comprising graphics". Therefore, for at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee traverses the rejection to claim 51 and its dependents.

Claim 51 also recites, among other limitations, "wherein a portion of the window and the graphics are displayed and a portion of the window and the graphics are not display". The Office Action indicates that the foregoing is taught at col. 8, line 68 to col. 9, line 4.

Sukonick, col. 8, line 68 – col. 9, line 4 teaches that "only the portions of [the control words] ... are utilized at the outbound side of the memory 35." Col. 9, Lines 2-4. However, the foregoing does not teach that "a portion of the window and graphics are displayed and a portion of the window and the graphics are not displayed" because the control words do not include pixels, and "the outbound side of the memory 35" does not correspond to whether what is received is displayed. Therefore, for at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee traverses the rejection to claim 51 and its dependents.

Claim 51 also recites, among other limitations, "receiving a window descriptor havin a numerical value for indicating how many pixels are to be blanked out at an edge of the window" and "blanking out how many pixels are indicated by the numerical value from the plurality of pixels defining the entire window comprising the graphics". The Office Action indicates that the foregoing is taught at (col. 14, lines 51-54; figure 8) and

col 6, lines 22-34, figure 4.

Col. 14, lines 38-60 describe “zoom parameters RFAC, RBLANK and ROFF”. RFAC is the replication factor, while “the replication blanking factor ‘RBLANK =1 designates that the *final* replication is to be blank.” Col. 14, Lines 49-51. Thus, the two data bits (in positions 5 and 6) are replicated, with blanking, as the serial data stream ‘01010100’. “The resultant viewport segment 31 thus will contain three screen pixels and one blank *for each graphics image pixel obtained from the memory 14.*” Col. 14, Lines 60-63.

Rather than “blanking out how many pixels are indicated by the numerical value from the plurality of pixels defining the entire window comprising the graphics”, Sukonick teaches blanking “for each graphics image pixel obtained from memory 14.” Therefore, for at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee traverses the rejection to claim 51 and its dependents.

Since claim 74 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 51, Assignee traverses the rejections to claim 74 as well.

Claims 75-82 are similar to claims 55-62, except are for “for horizontally scrolling a window to the right”. Claims 83-86 are dependent on allowable independent claims 55, 59, 75, and 79.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee respectfully submits that each of the pending claims are allowable and Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this case to issuance. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge additional fees or credit overpayments to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Dated: January 26, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'MDL', is written over a horizontal line.

Mirut Dalal
Reg. No. 44,052
Attorney for Applicants

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison Street
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Telephone: (312) 775-8000
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100